



COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINALLY FILED

AR&E Docket No. 96700/451

#23  
gnd

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

MAR 28 2002

RECEIVED

Applicant : Bruce D. Gaynor, Betty A. Diamond, Matthew D. Scharff, and  
Philippe Valadon

Serial No. : 08/833,838

Filed : April 10, 1997

For : PEPTIDES FOR THE TREATMENT AND DIAGNOSIS OF  
SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS

Examiner : G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit : 1644

"Express Mail" mailing label No. E1 900663698 IUS

Date of Deposit: March 20, 2002

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express  
Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR  
1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to  
the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Name: Elie H. Gendloff

Signature: [Signature]

RESPONSE TO COMMUNICATION OF FEBRUARY 22, 2002

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The communication of February 22, 2002 in this case asserts that the sequence listing is not consistent with the sequences recited in the claims. For example, it was believed that SEQ ID NO:2 in pending claim 54 is X-Gly-Trp-X-Arg-Val. However, applicant believes that the claim 54 having the sequence inconsistent with the sequence listing was amended on July 9, 2001 to be consistent with the sequence listing. According to the undersigned attorney's records, the previous version of claim 54 was entered on December 20, 2000, along with numerous amendments to the specification that added the sequence listings to the recitation of sequences therein. The sequence number in those specification amendments were consistent with the sequences in the sequence listing, but the sequences in the claim 54 presented in that December 20, 2000 filing was not consistent. However, according to the undersigned attorney's records,


Applicant : Bruce D. Gaynor, et al.  
Serial No. : 08/833,838  
Filed : April 10, 1997  
Page 2

claim 54 was again amended on July 9, 2001 to recite sequences that are consistent with the sequence listing. It is thus applicants' belief that the current claims are as recited in Appendix A, attached hereto. If this is not the case, applicants respectfully request that amendments be entered to make the pending claims as recited in Appendix A, or that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney to resolve this discrepancy.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN  
Attorneys for Applicant  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 697-5995

Dated: New York, New York  
March 20, 2002

By:   
Elie H. Gendloff  
Registration No.: 44,704